

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/631,576	08/04/2000	Larry W. Blake	TEKIA.002A 1860		
20995 7590 10/09/2003			EXAMINER		
	ARTENS OLSON & BE	BLANCO, JAVIER G			
2040 MAIN S' FOURTEENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614			3738		

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	- 2				
Office Action Summary		09/631,576		BLAKE, LARRY W.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Javier G. Bla		3738					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address	,				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor will apply and will ex	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ton to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.5	July 2003 .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is no	n-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under				s is				
-	ion of Claims		. Property or						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 40,51-72,74,75 and 77-79 is/are pending in the application.								
- >.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∐	— · · / ———								
6)⊠	······································								
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>54,55,57-66,70-72 and 75</u> is/are objective.		dan an and						
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	or election req	uirement.						
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er							
,	The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are: a)		a)∏ objected to b v th	e Examiner.					
10/63	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on								
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep				*				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been i	eceived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been i	eceived in Applicati	on No					
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rı	ıle 17.2(a)).						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				ation).				
8	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional appli	cation has been rec	eived.	·				
Attachmer			2. 22 2.2.2. 33 120						
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/631,576

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 14, 2003 has been entered as Paper # 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 60 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Regarding claim 60, it is indefinite since it depends on itself.
- b. Regarding claim 69, "said hinge" (see line 2) lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/631,576 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 77 and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Benjamin (FR 2,728,459). As seen in Figures 3, 4, and 12-15, Benjamin discloses a two-part IOL comprising an optic (lens 43) made of silicone (see page 9), a haptic(s) (double ring 28) made of PMMA (see page 9), at least two cleats (projection 45/stem 46) on the optic (see Figure 15), at least two eyelets (keyhole openings 24) on the haptic allowing the cleats to firmly attach to the eyelets (see pages 7 and 8), wherein said two-part IOL is configured to pass completely through a small opening without folding the haptic (see page 6 for explanation of how double ring 28 is inserted by rotation by inserting first chamfered edge 17 of narrow oblique opening 15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 40, 51-53, 56, 67, 68, 74, and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benjamin (FR 2,728,459). Benjamin discloses the claimed invention (see 102(b) rejection above) except for disclosing at least two cleats on the haptic and at least two eyelets on the optic (basically a reversal of the essential working parts). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Benjamin's two-part IOL to comprise at least two cleats on the haptic and at least two eyelets on the optic, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

Application/Control Number: 09/631,576 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 60 and 69 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

9. Claims 54, 55, 57-66, 70-72, and 75 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Rheinish et al. (US 5,306,297 A), Brady (US 2003/0045933 A1), and Agafonova et al. (WO 94/05233 A1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 703-605-4259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Application/Control Number: 09/631,576

Art Unit: 3738

JGB

September 28, 2003

David H. Willse Primary Examiner